Exhibit B

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 3855-2 Filed 09/05/25

Page 2 of 10

Case No. 3.23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

On December 28, 2023, the Court entered Pretrial Order No. 5, providing, *inter alia*, that "[a]ll Plaintiffs must produce to Defendants a bona fide ride receipt from an Uber trip connected to the alleged incident." ECF No. 175. "For those cases where a receipt is not readily available, Plaintiffs' counsel must disclose to Defendants," among other things, "why the receipt is unavailable." *Id*.

- a. The Court now further orders as follows: Where any Plaintiff who claims to have ordered the relevant ride themselves ¹ has not submitted a ride receipt and Uber, as indicated by its Defense Fact Sheet, has not been able to substantiate the ride alleged by that Plaintiff, Uber may serve written notice on each such Plaintiff requiring that the Plaintiff comply with the procedures set forth in this Order.
- b. Within 30 days of receiving Uber's written notice, the Plaintiff must either (i) produce a bona fide ride receipt to Defendants via MDL Centrality, or (ii) serve a statement indicating that the Plaintiff is unable to locate the receipt, explaining in detail the reasonable efforts that have been undertaken by Plaintiff to search their email and the Uber app for the receipt, and explaining why Plaintiff has been unable to locate the receipt.
- c. If a Plaintiff provides a receipt, Plaintiff shall verify under penalty of perjury that it is a bona fide ride receipt relating to the Uber trip relating to the allegations contained in Plaintiff's complaint.
- d. If a Plaintiff serves a statement in lieu of a bona fide receipt, the statement shall identify the date of both the oldest and most recent Uber receipt available in their email and the date of both the oldest and most recent Uber receipt available in the Uber app. Such a statement must be verified under penalty of perjury by the Plaintiff.

¹ This Order shall not, however, apply to Plaintiffs who have previously claimed in this litigation that the ride was ordered by someone other than themselves.

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3855-2	Filed 09/05/25	Page 4 of 10
e. A failure by a Plain	tiff to provide the infor	mation required in t	his Order by the deadlines
set forth above shall	subject the Plaintiff to	dismissal with preju	idice.
Exhibit B-1 identifies Plaint	iffs already identified b	y Uber who have no	ot produced a bona fide
ride receipt and who have provided	either no explanation,	a limited explanation	n, or a boilerplate
explanation (identical or substantia	lly identical to others su	ıbmitted by other pl	aintiffs represented by the
same attorney) for not doing so. En	ntry of this Order shall s	serve as the written	notice described in
paragraph (a) to the 90 Plaintiffs lis	sted in Exhibit B-1 and a	automatically initiat	te the procedures set forth
in this Order as to those 90 Plaintif	fs.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
DATED:		CHARLES R. BRE United States Distric	

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 3855-2 Filed 09/05/25 Page 5 of 10
--

Exhibit B-1:

1. 2091	Cutter Law PC
2. 2106	Cutter Law PC
3. 2123	Cutter Law PC
4. 1184	Levin Simes, LLP
5. 1189	Levin Simes, LLP
6. 1121	Levin Simes, LLP
7. 1137	Levin Simes, LLP
8. 1154	Levin Simes, LLP
9. 1482	Nachawati Law Group
10. 1491	Nachawati Law Group
11. 1493	Nachawati Law Group
12. 1500	Nachawati Law Group
13. 1511	Nachawati Law Group
14. 1889	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
15. 1928	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
	4 DI PEVISED OPDER

[PROPOSED] REVISED ORDER

Case No. 3.23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

Dodding Coop in a coop i cital	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3855-2	Filed 09/05/25	Page 6 of 10
--------------------------------	------------------------	-----------------	----------------	--------------

1		
2	16. 1949	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
3	17. 1984	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
4 5	18. 2066	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
6 7	19. 2067	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
8	20. 2079	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
9	21. 2095	Cutter Law PC
10	22. 2127	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
12	23. 2128	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
13 14	24. 2133	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
15 16	25. 2186	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
17	26. 2221	Nachawati Law Group
18	27. 2227	Nachawati Law Group
19 20	28. 2231	Nachawati Law Group
21	29. 2232	Nachawati Law Group
22	30. 2241	Pulaski Law Firm, PLLC
23	31. 2253	Nachawati Law Group
25		5

26

Dude 0.20 ma 0000+ OND Dudament 0000 2 milea 00/00/20 mage 1 0	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3855-2	Filed 09/05/25	Page 7	' of 10
--	------------------------	-----------------	----------------	--------	---------

1		
2	32. 2255	Nachawati Law Group
3	33. 2256	Nachawati Law Group
5	34. 2257	Nachawati Law Group
6	35. 2259	Nachawati Law Group
7	36. 2261	Nachawati Law Group
8	37. 2263	Nachawati Law Group
10	38. 2264	Nachawati Law Group
11	39. 2395	Levin Simes, LLP
12 13	40. 2513	Levin Simes, LLP
14	41. 2682	Cutter Law PC
15	42. 2796	Nachawati Law Group
17	43. 2850	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
18	44. 2854	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
19 20	45. 2855	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
21	46. 2857	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
22		, and the second
23	47. 2859	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
24		
25		6

26

Dube 0.20 ma 0000+ OND Dodament 0000 2 mica 00/00/20 mage 0 on	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3855-2	Filed 09/05/25	Page 8 of 10
--	------------------------	-----------------	----------------	--------------

48. 2860	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
49. 2862	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
50. 2863	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
51. 2866	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
52. 2867	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
53. 2869	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
54. 2872	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
55. 2874	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
56. 2876	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
57 2077	Will H O D 1 H D
57. 2877	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
58. 2878	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
59. 2880	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
60. 2884	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
61 2005	Williams Hart & Dounday LLD
01. 2003	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
	_
	49. 2862 50. 2863 51. 2866 52. 2867 53. 2869 54. 2872 55. 2874 56. 2876 57. 2877 58. 2878 59. 2880

26

	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3855-2	Filed 09/05/25	Page 9 of 10
--	------------------------	-----------------	----------------	--------------

1		
2	62. 2887	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
3	63. 2888	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
5	64. 2890	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
6	65. 2892	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
7 8	66. 2896	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
9	67. 2898	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
10	68. 2899	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
11 12	69. 2900	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
13	70. 2903	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
14	71. 2904	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
16	72. 2906	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
17	73. 3243	Levin Simes, LLP
18	74. 2293	Levin Simes, LLP
20	75. 2344	Levin Simes, LLP
21	76. 2367	Levin Simes, LLP
22 23	77. 2371	Levin Simes, LLP
24	78. 2385	Levin Simes, LLP
25		8

26

27

Case No. 3.23-md-03084-CRB (LJC)

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 3855-2	Filed 09/05/25	Page 10 of 10
------------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------

1		
2	79. 2389	Levin Simes, LLP
3	80. 2482	Levin Simes, LLP
4	81. 2489	Levin Simes, LLP
5	82. 2512	Levin Simes, LLP
7	83. 2536	Levin Simes, LLP
8	84. 2537	Levin Simes, LLP
9	85. 2774	Kherkher Garcia
11	86. 1882	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
12	87. 1883	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
13	88. 2174	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
15	89. 2180	Williams Hart & Boundas, LLP
16	90. 2703	Cutter Law PC
17		